

Archived Content

Information identified as archived on the Web is for reference, research or recordkeeping purposes. It has not been altered or updated after the date of archiving. Web pages that are archived on the Web are not subject to the Government of Canada Web Standards. As per the [Communications Policy of the Government of Canada](#), you can request alternate formats by [contacting us](#).

Contenu archivé

L'information archivée sur le Web est disponible à des fins de consultation, de recherche ou de tenue de dossiers seulement. Elle n'a été ni modifiée ni mise à jour depuis sa date d'archivage. Les pages archivées sur le Web ne sont pas assujetties aux normes Web du gouvernement du Canada. Conformément à la [Politique de communication du gouvernement du Canada](#), vous pouvez obtenir cette information dans un format de rechange en [communiquant avec nous](#).



900 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
Phone: 202.783.0070
Fax: 202.783.0534
Web: www.ccianet.org

Computer & Communications Industry Association

April 22, 2008

Via Electronic Mail

(consultations@international.gc.ca)

Consultations and Liaison Division (CSL)
Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G2

Re: Proposed Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA)

To Whom It May Concern:

I write in response to your request for input on the proposed Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA). CCIA represents a wide range of companies in the computer, Internet, information technology, and telecommunications industries. Created over three decades ago, CCIA promotes open markets, open systems, and open networks.

Countries around the world are considering the important issue of counterfeiting. Along with several other technology trade associations, intermediaries, and public internet organizations, CCIA previously provided input on ACTA to the United States Trade Representative to assist the U.S. Government in its review of the proposed accord.

Those comments, which took the form of a list of principles, are attached to this letter for your consideration. The same principles that we identified to the U.S. Government – protecting consumers, intermediaries, and commerce, encouraging the free flow of information, and protecting technological innovation – also apply in the Canadian context.

Canadian consumers deserve protection from counterfeit goods and pirated products. However, just as under-protection of intellectual property from counterfeiting can discourage authors and inventors and injure consumers, over-protection discourages innovation, impairs competition, and injures the public at large. Therefore, consumers should be assured that efforts to protect them do not damage innovation online or impede the free flow of goods and information, hampering Canada's competitiveness in the global marketplace.

Thank you very much for your consideration. If you have any questions or comments, or if we can be of any assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E J Black". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Edward J. Black
President & CEO
Computer & Communications Industry Association

One (1) Attachment: *Principles for the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement*¹

¹ Also available online at <http://www.cciainet.org/docs/ACTAPrinciples.pdf>

PRINCIPLES FOR THE ANTI-COUNTERFEITING TRADE AGREEMENT

In response to the February 15, 2008 request for comments published in the *Federal Register*, the undersigned entities submit the following principles that should guide the U.S. delegation in negotiating the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA).

- ACTA should focus on the facilitation of legal action against those entities and individuals that intentionally engage in counterfeiting and intellectual property infringement on a commercial scale for commercial purposes. ACTA should not target innocent intermediaries such as shippers, payments systems, search engines, online marketplaces, and Internet access providers that are used by those counterfeiters and infringers. Nor should ACTA target activities that fall within exceptions to exclusive intellectual property rights.
- While the elimination of counterfeiting and commercial infringement certainly is a very important objective, ACTA must ensure that the pursuit of counterfeit and infringing products does not unduly burden legitimate commerce, impede innovation, undermine consumer privacy, or restrict the free flow of information.
- ACTA should concentrate on measures relating to *enforcement* of intellectual property rights, not on substantive issues of intellectual property such as the scope of protection, limitations and exceptions, and secondary liability.
- ACTA should not serve as a vehicle for changing U.S. domestic law relating to intellectual property enforcement.
- ACTA should be technologically neutral and not create disparate burdens or obligations depending on whether a counterfeit product is sold online or offline. Similarly, ACTA should not encourage the imposition of technology mandates, such as the mandatory filtering of Internet traffic.

The Fact Sheet on ACTA distributed by the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative references provisions that may appear in ACTA. The following comments address some of those proposed provisions.

- **Public/private advisory groups.** Any advisory groups formed pursuant to ACTA must represent the broad spectrum of interests, including rightsholders, intermediaries, and consumers.
- **Consumer Public Awareness.** Public education campaigns must present a balanced and accurate view of intellectual property. Consumers should learn not only about exclusive rights, but also exceptions and limitations to those rights. If consumers are presented with simplistic and draconian perspectives, they will

reject them. ACTA should not mandate a specific role for governments in consumer awareness campaigns, but allow each government flexibility.

- **Internet distribution and information technology.** As noted above, ACTA should be technologically neutral. While the Internet does pose some unique challenges in terms of identifying, locating, and apprehending perpetrators, it is also far more transparent than other means of distribution and preserves far more evidence that can be used in enforcement proceedings. Furthermore, the harm caused by the distribution of counterfeit and infringing products through the Internet is qualitatively the same as the harm caused by other forms of distribution. Accordingly, special penalties that target the Internet are inappropriate.

Center for Democracy & Technology
Computer & Communications Industry Association
Consumer Electronics Association
EDUCAUSE
Library Copyright Alliance
NetCoalition
Visa Inc.